The Effect of the External Magnetic Field on the Initial Impulse Attribute for Magnetostrictive Sensors
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Abstract: Magnetostrictive sensors (MsSs) using the magnetostriction effect have many advantages for nondestructive inspections, such as without any contact, movable and easily installed. While the shortcoming of MsSs, for example low SNR and the output affected by the nonlinear magneto-mechanical coupling performance under magnetic field, can limit the use of it. In order to solve this problem, the mechanical dynamics model to excite guided-wave was established which was based on the nonlinear coupled magnetostrictive theory of ferromagnetic material and the generator model of magnetostrictive guided-wave. Using the finite element method (FEM) and numerical simulation, the effect of the bias magnetic field, exciting frequency and exciting current on the particle amplitude were analyzed. The results indicate that the low frequency, heavy current and suitable bias magnetic field can improve the conversion efficiency of magneto-mechanical coupling performance under the condition of considering dispersion. The suitable bias magnetic field is determined by the maximum tangent slope of the amplitude curve. Copyright © 2013 IFSA.
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1. Introduction

MsSs are types of guided wave sensor considered useful for the structural health monitoring (SHM) of systems. The main advantages over other guided wave sensors, such as piezoelectric sensors, are that no coupling is required, which can be operated on a gap to the material under test and it has a good sensitivity in frequencies up to a few hundred kHz [1]. However the low SNR and output affected by the nonlinear magneto-mechanical coupling performance under magnetic field can limit the use of it. In order to solve these problems, setting up the dynamics model to analyze the effect of parameters on the model is useful.

Guided waves are generated based on the magnetostrictive effect (Joule effect), namely when the ferromagnetic material is magnetized by the external field. Its geometry would change, which is called magnetostrictive effect. For complexity of magnetostrictive effect, such as nonlinear and hysteresis effect, the understanding of characteristics for the magnetostrictive is not deep. The study of MsSs is based on the magnetostrictive from the past literatures. It is often regard the nonlinear constitutive as linear [2, 3]. In fact, the linear model can only apply to the bias magnet
around the fixed bias magnetic field. The works of Thompson [2], Il’in and Kharitonov [3], and Wilbrand [4, 5] have defined analytical models for magnetostriction, in terms of elastic and electromagnetic constitutive equations linked by coupling terms accounting for the magneto-mechanical transduction, in analogy with piezoelectric equations which is still the linear model. Gurevich [6] has proposed the method of using electromagnetic acoustic transducer to excite acoustic in the ferromagnetic material and using Green function method to calculate the parameters, V.D. Boltachev [7] studied the theoretical model between electromagnetic and elastic wave in ferromagnetic steel tube. The model considered magneto-elastic coupling, but did not provide effective mathematical calculation and the results are not verified. Finite element magnetostrictive models have been developed in the field of actuators and smart structures to simulate the operation of giant magnetostrictive materials like Terfenol-D [8]. There have been many finite element models for Lorentz force EMATs, but few include magnetostriction.

Research in [15] by M. J. Sablik included electromagnetic theory derived from Maxwell equation, the elastic wave equation in pipe, the boundary condition for solving the electromagnetic wave equation and the relationship between the magnetostriction and magnetostrictive coefficient of coupling is discussed. M.S. Choi [12, 13] established the circuit model for detection system by circuit theory. While these studies basing on the electromagnetic system, the characters of material are not considering. Kwun [9] study the effect of pipe thickness on the reflection wave and Xu [10] pay attention on the bias magnetic field for generating guided waves only by experiments. In this paper, we pay more attention on the mechanical dynamics model while considering the properties of materials by which the initial impulse of guided wave is got. The FEM model is derived from the Galerkin FEM method by supposing the uniformity of the external magnetic field and no skin effect and proximity effect between conductors in coil. The objective in the present research is to investigate the effect of the external magnetic field, exciting frequency and exciting current on the initial impulse for the longitudinal waves in the pipe by the transmitter of MsSs guided-wave, just shown in Fig. 1.

2. Mechanical Subsystem Model of MsSs

In order to determine the initial-boundary value problem of the mechanical model for the MsSs, the following assumptions are made:

1) A nonlinear constitutive model of the magnetostrictive material;
2) time-varying magnetic field.

2.1. Constitutive Relations

According to the experimental results, the behavior of magnetostrictive materials is nonlinear [17], show in Fig. 2.

In this paper, constitutive relations are based on reducing expansion of the Gibbs free energy equation [16] written as:

\[ \varepsilon = \frac{\sigma}{E_0} + \frac{\lambda_s}{M_s} \left( \frac{1 - \sigma}{\sigma_s} \right) \frac{M^2}{M_s^3} - \frac{\beta \lambda_s (M^2 - M_s^2 (1 - \sigma/\sigma_s))^2}{M_s^4} \]

\[ H = \frac{1}{k} \int \left( \frac{M}{M_s} - \frac{\lambda_s \sigma (2 - \sigma/\sigma_s)}{\mu_0 M_s^4} M \right. \]

\[ + \left. \frac{4 \beta \lambda_s (M^2 - \sigma/\sigma_s) (1 - \sigma/\sigma_s)}{\mu_0 M_s^4} \right) \]

where the expression of f(x) is as follow:

\[ f(x) = \coth(x) - \frac{1}{x} \]

where \( \sigma \) is the axial pressure; \( \varepsilon \)-the strain tensor; \( H \)- the magnetic field; \( B \) is the magnetic flux; \( \mu_0 \)-the vacuum permeability; \( E_0 \) is the magnetostrictive modulus; \( \chi_0 \) is the magnetic susceptibility in the
initial linear region; $M_s$ is the saturation magnetization; $\lambda_s$ is the maximum strain; $M_{wa}$ is the domain-wall displacement of magnetization; $M$ is the magnetization; $k$ is the relaxation factor.

The experimental data obtained for magnetostrictive composite materials [16] is used as the basis for determining properties of these materials. It is assumed that building of model for magnetostrictive material system (Fig. 2) has a fundamental importance and a one-dimensional and nonlinear model for parameters describing physical features reasons is established, especially magnetic field intensity.

2.2. Dynamics Model for Longitudinal Guided-Wave Generation

The transmitter of $M_s S_s$ is assumed as a mass-spring-damping model. So, the dimensions’ change of pipe can be regarded as one-dimensional vibration system. The structural dynamic equations of the vibration modal are set up by D’Alembert’s principle, which satisfies the one-dimensional wave equation. A piece of pipe surrounding by the transmitting coil is chosen to analyze the force condition, shown in Fig. 1. So the longitudinal extension is given by Eq (3) while the strain can be written as Eq. (4)

$$\delta = u(x + dx, t) - u(x, t) = u(x, t) + \left(\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x}\right) dx - u(x, t) = \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x} dx$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x},$$

and the force $F_i$ impact on the cross section of pipe can be written as:

$$F_i(t, x) = E_o A \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + C_o A \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - F_{mag},$$

the dynamic equation for the piece is as follow:

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial x^2} = \rho A \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2},$$

From the one-dimensional nonlinear constitutive relations defined in Eq.(1) and mechanics of materials, the magneto-mechanical force $F_{mag}$ is given by:

$$F_{mag} = \nabla \cdot (e \cdot H) = e_{x, y} (\partial H_4 / \partial x),$$

where

$$e_{x, y} = \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_y}{\partial H_4}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial \sigma_y}{\partial H_4} \\ \frac{\partial e_{xx}}{\partial H_4} \end{array}\right)_{H=0} (\partial H_4 / \partial x)_{H=0},$$

and the natural boundary condition is given as follows:

$$u(0, t) = 0 \quad (t \geq 0),$$

$$y(0, x) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} (0, x) = 0 \quad (x \geq 0),$$

2.3. Finite Element Model

In the above mentioned, the structural dynamics model is set up by equation of (5) to (9). And the vibration of the pipe could be obtained via structural dynamics model. Noting that the equation of vibration (4) and (5) has variable coefficient with time $t$, so the Calerkin FEM discretion method is used to solve the problem and the vibration displacement vector can written as:

$$u_{eq} = \left[ I - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \right] \left[ I + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \right] A \left[ I - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \right] B M',$$

where the consistent mass matrix of inertia $M$ and the vector of equivalent nodal forces $f_{mag}$ is defined by (14-17).

According to the Eq.(11), we can obtain the displacement of ferromagnetic particle generated by external excitation magnetic field during magnetostriction effect that is the initial impulse of the magnetostriction guided wave.
3. Numerical Simulation and Results Analysis

3.1. Numerical Simulation Details

The magnetostrictive strain is closely related to the magnetostrictive force \( F_{\text{mag}} \), which is affected by the field consist of static bias magnetic and exciting magnetic field. In the process of magnetization, bias magnetic field provides static working point and exciting magnetic field provides dynamic workspace. So the length of the material will periodical change and the ultrasonic is generated. As a result, analyzing the effect of bias magnetic and exciting magnetic on the particle amplitude is a useful way for improving efficiency.

The 3D model of the MsSs is shown in Fig. 3 and dimensions of pipe are \( D_{\text{in}}=47 \) mm and \( D_{\text{out}}=51 \) mm. The magnetic and magnetostrictive parameters for iron are taken from reference [17], where density \( \rho=7850 \) kg/m\(^3\) and elastic modulus \( E=2.04 \times 10^{11} \) pa, the magnetic susceptibility in the initial linear region \( \chi_m=215 \) and saturation magnetization \( M_s=1.5 \times 10^6 \) A/m, domain-wall displacement the magnetization \( M_{\text{ws}}=1.0 \times 10^6 \) A/m and maximum strain \( \lambda_s=4.17 \times 10^{-6} \).

![Fig. 3. Three-dimensional model of MsSs.](image)

To study the influence of material magnetizing characteristic on the guided waves excited by MsSs in detail, the outer boundary is set to be a closed boundary and far away from the sources. The static bias magnetic field is known and adjustable and ignoring edge effect of magnetic field generated by the AC coil. The initial value of the variables are zero and the time steps for solving Eq. (11) is \( 1 \times 10^{-7} \) s.

Supposing the static bias magnetic field size is \( H_{\text{bias}} \), generated by magnet and the exciting magnetic field size is \( H_{\text{ac}} \), produced by the coil. So the total magnet field applying on the pipe can be regarded as:

\[
H = H_{\text{bias}} + H_{\text{ac}} = H_{\text{bias}} + nI ,
\]  

where \( f_c=120 \) kHz gets from the disperse curve and \( I_0=1 \) A, the pulse waveform is shown in Fig. 4.

![Fig. 4. Exciting pulsed current waveform.](image)

3.2. Results and Discussion

Using the dynamic model, the relation between amplitude of the particle and bias magnetic field is got as Fig. 5. The maximum amplitude is located at the magnetic domain wall moving field. Before the magnetic domain wall moving field, the amplitude increases with the bias magnetic field increases while exceed the field, the amplitude decreases as the field increases and tends to stable finally. The change law of relation between amplitude of the particle and bias magnetic field is the same with the magnetostrictive line, which proving the generation of magnetostrictive guided wave is closely related to the nonlinear characteristics of the magnetic coupling.

![Fig. 5. The relation between amplitude of the particle and bias magnetic field.](image)

The theory behind the magnetostriction and the amplitude of the wave signal is discussed as follows. As discussed earlier magnetostriction process is the resulting strain in a material in the presence of magnetic field. Magnetostriction takes place due to magnetic domain reorientation. A magnetic domain is the region in the material with uniform magnetization i.e. all the atoms within a magnetic domain are grouped together and aligned with one another. In an unmagnetized object though the atoms with in a magnetic domain are aligned in the same direction, the alignment of the atoms changes from domain to
domain i.e. different domains point in different directions. When the object becomes magnetized, the all the magnetic domains reorient themselves in the direction of the magnetic field. This magnetic domain reorientation causes a strain in the material. Magnetic reorientation occurs due to two processes. Domains realignment, where the domains that are favorably inclined with the magnetic field growing at the expense of those that are aligned in opposite directions to the field and Rotation magnetization or domain rotation, where the favorably aligned domains rotate into the direction of the magnetic field. Domain realignment occurs at low magnetic fields while the domain rotation takes place at moderate and moderately high magnetic fields.

The effect of current on the particle amplitude is also discussed. As the function of frequency, keeping other parameters as constant, the frequency changes from 20 kHz to 180 kHz in 10 kHz steps. The result is shown in Fig. 6 and the decreasing trend is evident. For static deformation is due to static magnetic field while dynamic magnetic field provides energy for vibrating of particle. When frequencies arising, the particle amplitude has not got the maximum at that field while field starting to decrease, so the particle amplitude cannot reach the maximum. That is the reason for amplitudes decrease with the frequencies increase. The relation between amplitude of the particle and current is explained by Fig. 7.

For bias magnetic is larger than dynamic magnetic by coil, the particle amplitude is affected by current can be neglectable and amplitude keep stable. On the other side, the result also shows that particle velocity increases linearly with the driving current for energy.

4. Conclusions

The coupled magnetostrictive equations often analogous with piezoelectricity in the model of MsSs in past studies, which can only work around the static working point that given before. So the nonlinear coupled magnetostrictive equations are used for the dynamic model of MsSs. By this model, the effect of bias magnetic field, driving current and exciting frequency on the magneto-mechanical coupling performance are discussed. The analysis shows that, with the increasing of bias magnetic, the particle amplitude increases at first than decreases when the field exceeding domain-wall displacement of magnetization. The particle amplitude get small with frequency increased, while the current magnitude has little effect on it. However, the current magnitude can let particle velocity speed-up as current increase. So in order to improve the efficiency of MsSs, as regarding of frequency dispersion, the low frequency and large driving current should be chosen. Moreover, the suitable bias magnetic field is determined by the maximum tangent slope of the amplitude curve.
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