

## Study on Ultrasonic Source System Using Acoustic Waveguide: Simulation of 2D Acoustic Fields

<sup>1</sup> Shigeru IGARASHI, <sup>2</sup> Shinichi TAKEUCHI

<sup>1</sup> Polytechnic University, 2-32-1 Ogawa-nishimachi, Kodaira-shi, Tokyo, 187-0035, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Toin University of Yokohama, 1614 Kurogane-cho, Aoba-ku,  
Yokohama-shi, Kanagawa, 225-8502, Japan

<sup>1</sup> Tel.: +81-42-346-7477, fax: +81-42-344-5609

<sup>1</sup> E-mail: Igarashi.Shigeru@jeed.or.jp

*Received: 14 November 2014 / Accepted: 15 December 2014 / Published: 31 January 2015*

**Abstract:** We propose a system for generating and controlling an ultrasonic acoustic field using multiple acoustic waveguides and piezoelectric transducers. This system can be used as a high-power ultrasonic source for nonlinear calibration of hydrophones. In the system, ultrasonic waves are emitted from multiple transducers with controlled transmission delays, and the acoustic power is increased through acoustic waveguides before being output, this allows synthesis and control of ultrasonic acoustic fields. We simulated the proposed system as a two-dimensional acoustic field in which the computed output acoustic pressure determines the basic shape of the acoustic waveguide. The proposed system of five transmitting transducers and five acoustic waveguides was compared with a single transmitting transducer, and the results showed a peak acoustic pressure of 1.5-fold increase value at the same beam width. Copyright © 2015 IFSA Publishing, S. L.

**Keywords:** Acoustic waveguide, Piezoelectric transducer, Ultrasonic acoustic field, Nonlinear calibration, 2D acoustic field simulation.

### 1. Introduction

In recent years in medicine, high-intensity focused ultrasonic fields have been used in many ways: for cancer treatment, including acoustic chemotherapy (sonodynamic therapy), for sonoporation to facilitate gene transfer, in ultrasonic elastography to image the hardness of soft tissues and organs by measuring acoustic radiation pressure, and in harmonic imaging methods that utilize harmonics for diagnosis. In industry, too, the use of high-intensity ultrasonic fields is increasing in applications such as ultrasonic cleaners and ultrasonic dispersers. In response to this growing use, the Consultative

Committee for Acoustics, Ultrasonic, and Vibrations, was established in 1998 as part of the International Bureau of Weights and Measures. In addition to this, between 1999 and 2002 a pilot program of the Germany Engineering Physics Institute studied ultrasonic power, and hydrophone reception sensitivity was studied between 1999 and 2003 under a pilot program of the UK National Physical Laboratory [1]. Japan joined this effort in 2002, when the National Metrology Institute of Japan began development of measurement standards for ultrasonic.

Measurement standards for ultrasonic power of up to 15 W have been established using the acoustic

balance method and standards for powers up to 100 W that use calorimetry are under development. Measurement standards for hydrophone reception sensitivity in the range 0.5–20 MHz are complete, and standards are under development for sensitivities outside of this range [1]. For ultrasonic power in particular, a source that can generate ultrasonic waves in the megahertz band at 100 W or more is needed. As ultrasonic of increasingly higher intensity is demanded in medicine, the desired acoustic fields exceed the region of linear increase, becoming nonlinear. For this reason, it is expected that nonlinear calibration and evaluation will be needed more often. Therefore, it is necessary to develop a durable hydrophone that can accommodate the spatial distribution of a powerful ultrasonic field [2], at the same time, it is necessary to develop a method to transmit high-intensity ultrasonic power.

Many previous studies have examined properties of acoustic waveguides: dispersion [3] and acoustic pressure profiles [4] in cylindrical waveguides, sharp bending of surface sound waves through photonic crystal waveguides [5-6], representations of acoustic waveguides with distributed transmission lines by scattering matrices [7], and ways to increase the acoustic pressure in a solid waveguide [8]. Additionally, one study details a patent for an ultrasonic source that can transmit ultrasonic waves along a fiber or rod [9]. Also recently, applications using acoustic waveguide are as follows: ultrasonic cleaning machine using the ultrasonic waveguide tube for reduce damage of the LSI pattern [10], structural health monitoring and nondestructive evaluation of inaccessible adhesively bonded joints using ultrasonic guided waves propagation across waveguide [11], the coiled stator ultrasound motor (CS-USM) using a closed-loop-type acoustic waveguide made from SUS304 [12], and microscopic images of tissue using a thin acoustic waveguide made from a fused quartz fiber [13].


Here, we propose a system for controlling an ultrasonic acoustic field by using multiple acoustic waveguides and piezoelectric transducers. We investigate the suitability of the system for use as a high power ultrasonic source by numerical simulation of a two-dimensional acoustic field.

The paper is structured in 5 sections. In Section 2, we describe an overview of our proposed system. In Section 3, we describe the basic conditions of 2D acoustic field simulation. In Section 4, we describe the two-dimensional model, and the simulated spatial distribution, peak acoustic pressure for our proposed system using multiple acoustic waveguides. Section 5 presents the conclusions and future works.

## 2. Overview of the System

To generate high-intensity ultrasonic waves with a single transducer, it is typical to increase the power of the ultrasonic wave by applying a higher voltage to the electrodes of the piezoelectric element, but this

increases the risk of deterioration or destruction of the piezoelectric element by increased temperature, such as that due to high voltage. Also, although focusing the beam of the transducer may yield the desired ultrasonic power at the focus, quantitative evaluation becomes difficult because the produced wave is no longer a plane wave. Fig. 1 shows the model of the system proposed for generating high-power ultrasonic by using acoustic waveguides.



**Fig. 1.** Ultrasonic source system using multiple acoustic waveguides and piezoelectric transducers [15].

After ultrasonic waves are generated by some of the transducers and the acoustic pressure of each ultrasonic wave is increased by the acoustic waveguides, the outputs of the ultrasonic point sources on the transmitting aperture surface are formed into a beam by Huygens' principle. In this way, a high-power sound source can be constructed without increasing the output from any single transducer. However, because the beam is formed from the point sources, it is important to control the amplitude, frequency, and phase of the ultrasonic waves that are generated by each of the transducers.

## 3. Two-Dimensional Acoustic Field Simulation

The Wave2000 (Cyberlogic, Inc.) package was used for simulation. Wave2000 is a two-dimensional simulator that uses the finite difference method [14], a two-dimensional displacement distribution is produced in which the relative brightness represents the magnitude of the positive and negative displacements. Because of this, the data about displacement are all magnitudes. As the basic conditions of the simulation, a transmitting ultrasonic wave is assumed at a continuous longitudinal wave with frequency of 1 MHz and amplitude of 1 [a.u.]. The acoustic medium is water (temperature: 25 °C, speed of sound: 1497 m/s, acoustic characteristic impedance: 1.497 MRayl), and the wavelength of ultrasonic wave to be transmitted is 1.5 mm. Acoustic waveguides are constructed from a boundary of air (temperature: 20 °C, speed of sound: 344.0 m/s, acoustic characteristic impedance: 0.427 kRayl) that is 0.2 mm thick. To investigate the

acoustic pressure distribution of the acoustic field, a 0.3-mm wide receiving transducer was aligned along the central axis direction or the lateral direction, and the peak-peak amplitude of the acoustic pressure waveform was plotted as a relative acoustic pressure.

### 3.1. Acoustic Pressure Characteristics Due to Length of the Acoustic Waveguide

In this system, it is necessary to have transmitting aperture width of 1.5 ~ 3.0 mm for beam forming. After this, following the model of Fig. 2, we simulated the acoustic pressure at  $x$  mm away point on the center axis from the transmitting aperture plane for both transmitter and waveguide widths of  $d = 1.5, 2.0, 2.4$  and 3.0 mm and waveguide length  $L$  mm of the acoustic waveguide.




Fig. 2. Model for length of acoustic waveguide [15].

The results at 30 mm away point are shown in Fig. 3. At a transmitter width of 1.5 mm, the acoustic pressure becomes a constant that is independent of the length. At larger widths, it was found that the acoustic pressure is changed by the length.




Fig. 3. Acoustic pressure characteristic due to length of acoustic waveguide ( $x = 30$  mm) [15].

### 3.2. Acoustic Pressure Characteristics Due to Bending of Acoustic Waveguide

To construct a system of transmitting transducers, it is necessary to bend the acoustic waveguide. As

shown in Fig. 4, two acoustic waveguides, each 6 mm in length, and the transmitting transducers moved from the center axis by offsets  $y_0 = 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0$  and 2.5 mm, simulated the acoustic pressure at  $x$  mm away point from the transmitting aperture plane for both transmitter and waveguide widths of  $d = 1.5, 2.0$  and 2.4 mm.




Fig. 4. Model for bending of acoustic waveguide [15].

The results at 30 mm away point are shown in Fig. 5. When the acoustic waveguide is focused on a 1.5 mm wavelength, it is seen that bending of the acoustic waveguide is possible.





Fig. 5. Acoustic pressure characteristics due to bending of acoustic waveguide ( $x = 30$  mm) [15].


### 3.3. Acoustic Pressure Characteristics Due to Expanding Inner Width of Acoustic Waveguide

Next, it is necessary to expand inner width of 1.5 ~ 3.0 mm for synthesizing transmitting aperture of each acoustic waveguide. Following the model of Fig. 6, we simulated the acoustic pressure at  $x$  mm away point on the center axis from the transmitting aperture plane for expanded inner widths of  $d = 1.5, 2.0, 2.4$  and 3.0 mm.



**Fig. 6.** Model for expanding inner width of acoustic waveguide.


The results at 1 ~ 40 mm away point are shown in Fig. 7. When the expanded inner width is less than 2.4 mm, it is found that the acoustic pressure levels are constant approximately.



**Fig. 7.** Acoustic pressure characteristics due to expanding inner width of acoustic waveguide.


### 3.4. Acoustic Pressure Characteristics Due to Expansion Length of Acoustic Waveguide

We would like to confirm influences of expansion length of acoustic waveguide inner width. Following the model of Fig. 8, we simulated the acoustic pressure at the  $x$  mm away point on the center axis from the transmitting aperture plane for expansion length  $L = 1.5 \sim 12.0$  mm.



**Fig. 8.** Model for expansion length of acoustic waveguide.


The results at 1 ~ 40 mm away point are shown in Fig. 9. When the away is more than 15 mm, it is found that the acoustic pressure levels are constant approximately independent in the expansion length.



**Fig. 9.** Acoustic pressure characteristics due to expansion length of acoustic waveguide.

### 3.5. Acoustic Pressure Characteristics Due to Focusing with Acoustic Waveguide

Waves are focused by an acoustic waveguide, as shown in Fig. 10, where the acoustic waveguide runs straight from the transmitting transducer (12 mm in width). The end of waveguide is connected to an acoustic waveguide 1.5 mm in width and 6 mm in length. For lengths  $L$  of the focusing acoustic waveguide in the range 30 – 51 mm, the acoustic pressures at points along the center axis from the transmitting aperture plane of the acoustic waveguide are calculated.




**Fig. 10.** Model for focusing with acoustic waveguide [15].

The results are shown in Fig. 11. The acoustic pressure level is increased at 33 mm and at 42 mm.

### 3.6. Acoustic Pressure Characteristics Due to Expanding Input Aperture of Acoustic Waveguide


Next, we would like to confirm influences of expanding input aperture width of acoustic waveguide.






**Fig. 11.** Acoustic pressure characteristics due to focusing with acoustic waveguide [15].

Following the model of Fig. 12, we simulated the acoustic pressure at  $x$  mm away point on the center axis from the transmitting aperture plane for input aperture width  $d = 12 \sim 16$  mm.



**Fig. 12.** Model for expanding input aperture width of acoustic waveguide.


The results at 1 ~ 40 mm away point are shown in Fig. 13. When the input aperture widths are 12, 14 and 16 mm, it is seen that the acoustic pressure levels are higher.



**Fig. 13.** Acoustic pressure characteristics due to expanding input aperture width of acoustic waveguide.


### 3.7. Acoustic Pressure Characteristics Due to Transmitting Aperture Width of Acoustic Waveguide

At the same time, we would like to confirm influences of transmitting aperture width of acoustic waveguide. Following the model of Fig. 14, we simulated the acoustic pressure for transmitting aperture width  $d = 1.5 \sim 3.0$  mm.



**Fig. 14.** Model for transmitting aperture width of acoustic waveguide.

The results at 1 ~ 40 mm away point are shown in Fig. 15. As the transmitting aperture width is wider, it is seen that the acoustic pressure level is higher proportionally.




**Fig. 15.** Acoustic pressure characteristics due to transmitting aperture width of acoustic waveguide.

## 4. Ultrasonic Acoustic Fields Using Multiple Acoustic Waveguides


Fig. 16 shows a two-dimensional simulation model of the proposed system by multiple acoustic waveguides and piezoelectric transducers. This system is composed of five transmitting transducers and five acoustic waveguides. The apertures of the acoustic waveguides vary from 12 mm to 1.5 mm along 42 mm of length to provide focus. For comparison, a single transducer must be 12 mm in width with a 2.4-mm aperture to obtain the equivalent of a 12-mm aperture arranged among the five acoustic waveguides. Because the inner and

outer path lengths of each acoustic waveguide are different, the beam is formed by delaying transmission from the transducers by 0.83, 0.27, 0.00, 0.23 and 0.90  $\mu\text{s}$ , this delay happens in order from the top of the system. Distances to the transmitting aperture through each acoustic waveguide from each transducer are different. Therefore, for arrival of ultrasonic waves transmitted from each transducer at the transmitting aperture of the waveguide simultaneously, each transmission delay time was adjusted.




**Fig. 16.** Two-dimensional simulation model of the proposed system [15].

Fig. 17 shows the two-dimensional distribution of displacement by a single transducer. Fig. 18 shows the same structure with the proposed system. These data show that the distribution of displacement by the single transducer system and the proposed system are similar to each other at 60 mm away.




**Fig. 17.** Spatial distribution of displacement with a single transducer (absolute value) [15].




**Fig. 18.** Spatial distribution of displacement with the proposed system (absolute value) [15].

To quantitatively evaluate the results with the aim of determining the center pressure distributions, data from the proposed system at beyond 60 mm are overlaid with data from the single transducer system. The results are shown in Fig. 19.




**Fig. 19.** Comparison of the center acoustic pressure [15].

Next, we simulated the acoustic pressure distribution in the lateral direction. Fig. 20 shows the results of comparison between the proposed system and a single transducer at distance 60 mm from the transmitting aperture. Fig. 20 (a) can compare the peak acoustic pressure levels each other. Fig. 20 (b) can be comparison of beam width of normalized acoustic pressure.




(a) Comparison of peak acoustic pressure.




(b) Comparison of beam width of normalized acoustic pressure.

**Fig. 20.** Lateral acoustic pressure distribution at 60 mm on the central axis [15].

Fig. 21 shows the results of comparison of the same, at distance 80 mm from the transmitting aperture.



(a) Comparison of peak acoustic pressure.



(b) Comparison of beam width of normalized acoustic pressure.

**Fig. 21.** Lateral acoustic pressure distribution at 80 mm on the central axis [15].

The results at 60 and 80 mm from the transmitting surface are analyzed for a  $-6$  dB beam width, and the rate of increase in peak-peak acoustic pressure is calculated. The results are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Evaluation of acoustic pressure distribution in the lateral direction [15].


| Distance $x$ [mm] | Relative peak acoustic pressure [a.u.] | Increase factor          | $-6$ dB beam width [mm] |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 60                | $P_{55} = 35.34$                       | $P_{55} / P_{10} = 1.57$ | 9.4                     |
|                   | $P_{10} = 22.46$                       |                          | 9.6                     |
| 80                | $P_{55} = 29.82$                       | $P_{55} / P_{10} = 1.44$ | 12.0                    |
|                   | $P_{10} = 20.64$                       |                          | 12.2                    |

$P_{55}$ : 5 transducers, 5 waveguides,  $P_{10}$ : 1 transducer, non-waveguide

A 1.5-fold (resp., 1.4-fold) increase of peak acoustic pressure is seen at 60 mm (resp., 80 mm), and the  $-6$  dB beam width is almost equal between systems. But it was found from the graph of the normalized acoustic pressure level in Fig. 20 and 21,

that the increase factors of peak side lobe pressure are about 1.3 to 1.4.

In our proposed system, when changing the number of adjacent active transmitting transducer, we simulated the maximum acoustic pressure at the receiving position 40 mm and 60 mm from transmitting aperture. Results are shown in Fig. 22.



**Fig. 22.** The relationships between maximum acoustic pressure levels and number of transmitting transducers [15].

## 5. Conclusions

We used a two-dimensional acoustic field simulation to find the basic characteristics of a high-power ultrasonic system using acoustic waveguides. The proposed system uses five acoustic waveguides instead of a single transducer, and achieved a 1.4- to 1.5-fold increase in acoustic pressure at the same beam width.

In the future, we will consider the shape of the acoustic waveguides further and extend the model to a three-dimensional acoustic field simulation using finite element modeling. In addition, we hope to build the probe, acoustic waveguide, and pulse generator and test the system experimentally.

## References

- [1]. T. Kikuchi, The situation of ultrasonic standards in Japan and the future development, *Japanese Journal Medical Ultrasonics*, Vol. 36, No. 6, July 2009, pp. 637-646.
- [2]. M. Shiiba, Y. Uemura, N. Okada, T. Uchida, T. Kikuchi, M. Kurosawa, S. Takeuchi, Performance improvement of tough hydrophone using titanium front layer, *Institute of Electronics, Information and Communication Engineers Transactions*, 112, 387, 2013, pp. 87-92.
- [3]. J. B. Lastpvka, E. F. Carome, Experimental Study of Dispersion in Ultrasonic Waveguide, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, Vol. 35, No. 8, August 1963, pp. 1279-1284.
- [4]. E. F. Carome, J. B. Lastovka, Theoretical and Experimental Studies of Pressure Profiles in Ultrasonic Waveguides, *Journal of the Acoustical*



- Society of America, Vol. 35, No. 5, May 1963, pp. 645-650.
- [5]. T. Miyashita, A Study on Transient Behaviors of Wave Propagation in the Waveguides Made of Two-dimensional Artificial Crystals like Sonic Crystals and Photonic Crystals, *Institute of Electronics, Information and Communication Engineers Transactions*, Vol. J85-A, No. 10, October 2002, pp. 1138-1145.
  - [6]. J. H. Sun, T. T. Wu, Propagation of surface acoustic waves through sharply bent two-dimensional phononic crystal waveguides using a finite-difference time-domain method, *American Physical Society Journals, Physical Review B*, 74, 17, 2006, pp. 174305-1-174305-7.
  - [7]. J. Price, Acoustic Waveguides, *University of Colorado*, Boulder, January 2008, pp. 14-31.
  - [8]. G. Montaldo, P. Roux, A. Derode, C. Negreira, M. Fink, Generation on very high pressure pulses with 1-bit time reversal in a solid waveguide, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, Vol. 110, No. 6, August 2001, pp. 2849-2857.
  - [9]. M. C. Bhardwaj, High Intensity Guided Ultrasound Source, *United States Patent*, No. 5371483, December 1994.
  - [10]. Y. Koike, K. Suzuki, K. Suzuki, R. Machida, K. Han, S. Okano, J. Soejima, Sound Pressure Analysis of the Ultrasonic Cleaning Machine Using Waveguide mode, *Institute of Electronics, Information and Communication Engineers Transactions*, US2009-44, September 2009, pp. 37-40.
  - [11]. P. Puthillath, J. M. Galan, B. Ren, C. J. Lissenden, J. L. Rose, Ultrasonic guided wave propagation across waveguide transitions: Energy transfer and mode conversion, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, Vol. 133, No. 5, May 2013, pp. 2624-2633.
  - [12]. T. Abe, T. Moriya, T. Irie, N. Sato, S. Takeuchi, Experimental study of the  $\Pi$ -shaped coiled stator ultrasound motor, *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics*, Vol. 53, June 2014, pp. 07KE15-1-07KE15-5.
  - [13]. T. Irie, N. Tagawa, M. Tanabe, T. Moriya, M. Yoshizawa, T. Iijima, K. Itoh, T. Yokoyama, H. Kumagai, N. Taniguchi, Transmission of 100-MHz-range ultrasound through a fused quartz fiber, *Japanese Journal Medical Ultrasonics*, Vol. 41, No. 4, 2014, pp. 553-561.
  - [14]. R. S. Schechter, H. H. Chaskelis, R. B. Mignogna, P. P. Delsanto, Real-Time Parallel Computation and Visualization of Ultrasonic Pulses in Solids, *Science*, Vol. 265, No. 5176, August 1994, pp. 1188-1192.
  - [15]. S. Igarashi, S. Takeuchi, Control of Ultrasonic Acoustic Fields by Multiple Acoustic Waveguides and Piezoelectric Transducers, in *Proceedings of the 5<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Sensor Device Technologies and Applications (SENSORDEVICES' 14)*, Lisbon, Portugal, 16-20 November 2014, pp. 26-31.

2015 Copyright ©, International Frequency Sensor Association (IFSA) Publishing, S. L. All rights reserved.  
(<http://www.sensorsportal.com>)

## Universal Sensors and Transducers Interface (USTI)

for any sensors and transducers with frequency, period, duty-cycle, time interval,  
PWM, phase-shift, pulse number output





- \* Input frequency range:  
0.05 Hz ... 9 MHz (144 MHz)
- \* Selectable and constant relative error:  
1 ... 0.0005 % for all frequency range
- \* Scalable resolution
- \* Non-redundant conversion time
- \* RS232, SPI, I2C interfaces
- \* Rotational speed, *rpm*
- \* Cx, 50 pF to 100  $\mu$ F
- \* Rx, 10  $\Omega$  to 10 M $\Omega$
- \* Pt100, Pt1000, Pt5000, Cu, Ni
- \* Resistive Bridges
- \* PDIP, TQFP, MLF packages

Just make it easy !

<http://excelera.io/>
[info@excelera.io](mailto:info@excelera.io)